

Blended Digital Data Spaces: a brief retrospective analysis of sharing data between European countries

From EDIFACT to the European Health Data Space (EHDS)

Pascal Staccini

Agenda





- From Envelopes to Meaning
- . From Pilots to Infrastructure
- Policy & Trust at Scale
- . Al for Blended Digital Data Spaces
- Preparing Execution Playbook





Part I — From Envelopes to Meaning (EDIFACT → epSOS origins)

Why data exchange matters?



- Continuity of care across borders (planned & unplanned)
- Safer medicines: allergies, interactions, ePrescriptions
- Research & public health: from silos to federated insights
- Interoperability is layered: syntax, semantics, governance

EDIFACT Era: the grammar of early exchange





- UN/EDIFACT (ISO 9735): common syntax for structured messages
- Pervasive in European industries, incl. healthcare admin/claims
- Strength: rigorous syntax and directories;
- . **Limit**: clinical semantics

Early Clinical Standards

From syntax to meaning: the early patchwork:



- Parallel clinical standards grew: HL7 v2, CDA;
 DICOM for imaging
- **Europe** worked on EN/ISO 13606 for EHR communication
- Outcome: islands of compatibility, not yet a continent

Smart cards: national successes, European fragmentation





- France: SESAM–Vitale;
- Germany: eGK;
- . **Italy**: Tessera Sanitaria
- Secure microchips, PKI, and local workflows strong national adoption
- But no EU-wide clinical payload or common reader/use model

The 'European Carte Vitale' that wasn't





- EHIC (2004) unified entitlement proof—but no clinical data on chip
- Projects like **NETC@RDS** piloted online entitlement verification
- A pan-EU clinical smart card proved politically and technically elusive

What blocked early EU-wide sharing?





- Legal fragmentation pre-GDPR; uneven data protection interpretations
- No common trust framework for digital identity/signatures (pre-eIDAS)
- Semantic gaps: SNOMED CT licensing, LOINC coverage, language variants
- Uneven infrastructure & EHR uptake; limited funding & governance





Part II — From Pilots to Infrastructure (epSOS → MyHealth@EU)

epSOS Pivot



- Chose two cross-border services: Patient Summary & ePrescription
- Used HL7 CDA + IHE profiles (XCPD/XCA/XDR) to broker exchange
- . Set the template for today's cross-border services

MyHealth@EU (eHDSI)





- National Contact Points for eHealth (NCPeH) as gateways
- Today: Patient Summary & ePrescription live in multiple states
- Roadmap extends to more data types over time

Syntactic & semantic building blocks





- eHealth Network guidelines: common content & safety focus
- Terminologies: SNOMED CT, LOINC, ATC; controlled vocabularies
- New services increasingly align to HL7 FHIR implementation guides

Today's standards momentum: FHIR for Europe





- HL7 Europe releasing EHDS-aligned FHIR Implementation Guides
- MyHealth@EU waves plan FHIR-based services (e.g., labs)
- FHIR = granular APIs → better reuse across care & research





Part III — Policy & Trust at Scale

EHDS: the policy breakthrough (2025 → ...)





- Entered into force in 2025; transition & implementing acts ahead
- Primary use: citizens' electronic access & crossborder continuity
- Secondary use: Health Data Access Bodies & permits for research/innovation

EU Digital Identity Wallet (eIDAS 2.0)





- Regulation (EU) 2024/1183: EU Digital Identity Wallets
- Trust anchor for verified credentials (incl. health-related attestations)
- Potential bridge: identity, consent, and crossborder authorisation

What changes now vs. the 2000s?





- Legal clarity: GDPR + EHDS; trust via eIDAS 2.0
- Technical convergence: FHIR, IHE, shared terminologies
- Operationalisation: NCPeH network, EU funding
 & procurement
- Cultural shift: citizen access by default; portability as a right

Clinical use cases unlocked





- Unplanned care abroad: allergies, meds, diagnoses—instantly accessible
- Planned care: ePrescriptions/eDispensation without paper detours
- Rare diseases: cross-border expertise and longitudinal data

Research & public health opportunities





- Secondary use under EHDS: transparent permits
 & governance
- Federated analysis: bring algorithms to data, not data to algorithms
- Real-world evidence, safety monitoring, crisis response





Part IV — AI for Blended Digital Data Spaces (Beyond Translation)

Al's Role in Cross-Border Health Data: Beyond Translation



- Language is no longer the main barrier → semantics, policy, and workflows are
- GenAl helps interpret, adapt, and mediate—but must be governed
- Goal: explicit, computable localization on top of shared FHIR/IHE standards

The Tree vs The Forest





- The tree: real-time, high-quality translation and summarisation
- The forest: semantic interoperability, coding rules, clinical culture, reimbursement
- Focus: from 'same words' → 'same meaning' → 'safe, lawful use'

What GenAl Enables Today (Safely)





- Multilingual discharge summaries with controlled medical glossaries
- Terminology suggestions and unit normalisation (SNOMED/ICD/LOINC/ATC) with uncertainty
- Guideline comparison (e.g., ESC vs NICE) with contextual adaptation and citations
- Data-quality checks: temporal anomalies, duplicates, PHI detection for de-identification

What AI Does NOT Solve Automatically?





- Different coding and billing regimes shape documentation
- Variable availability of services changes 'reasonable next steps'
- Divergent legal bases for processing, consent, and retention
- Risk of plausible but wrong 'hallucinations' without grounding

Make Differences Explicit (Not Uniform)





- Localisation layers: terminologies, thresholds, policies, roles
- Represent as 'context packs' per country/discipline
- Execute with policy-as-code controlling access, masking, and logging

10 High-Value GenAl Uses in Blended Data Spaces (1/2)



- Assisted semantic mediation: mapping SNOMED↔ICD, LOINC↔local with confidence
- 2. Country-aware clinical summaries: add relevant legal/clinical context
- 3. **Guideline bridging**: highlight gaps, justify local adaptation with citations
- 4. **Process mining** to local checklists from FHIR event logs
- 5. Natural-language cohort queries → federated FHIR/OMOP requests

10 High-Value GenAl Uses in Blended Data Spaces (2/2)



- 1. Data quality: detect anomalies, PHI, inconsistent units
- 2. Patient experience: constrained translation + teach-back verification
- 3. **Policy-aware interop**: apply consent and legal bases as executable rules
- 4. Coding assistance: suggest diagnosis/procedure codes with explanations
- 5. Synthetic data 'digital twins' for testing cross-border flows

Guardrails & Compliance





- EU Al Act: classify use-cases; maintain a safety case; monitor bias and performance
- GDPR & EHDS: data minimisation, purpose limitation, auditability, lawful bases
- MDR (where applicable): clinical risk
 management and post-market surveillance
- Operational controls: RBAC, grounding/RAG, prompt policies, immutable logs

Reference Architecture





- Base: MyHealth@EU + FHIR/IHE + terminology services
- Policy layer: consent, purposes, retention → policy-as-code
- Context packs: per country/speciality thresholds, coding, workflows
- Al orchestrator: RAG over official sources; agents for mapping/guidelines/policy; human-in-the-loop
- Observability: metrics, error dashboards, feedback to governance





Part V — Preparing Execution Playbook (KPIs, Scale-Up)

Lessons learned from the 'smart card' era





- Hardware ≠ interoperability: focus on data models and services
- Interoperability is socio-technical: laws, workflows, funding matter
- Start with narrow, high-value services and iterate (epSOS playbook)

Design principles for 2025+





- Syntactic: HL7 FHIR/IHE; Semantic: SNOMED, LOINC, ATC
- Governance: NCPeH, Health Data Access Bodies, auditability
- Trust: eIDAS wallets, consent receipts, security by design

Roadmap for hospitals & regions





- Map local data to EU profiles (Patient Summary, eRx, labs)
- Invest in terminology services & translation pipelines
- Pilot cross-border flows via NCPeH; measure safety & time-to-info
- Prepare for secondary use: de-identification & federated analytics

KPIs & Outcomes





- **Clinical**: avoided medication errors; time-to-information; re-admissions linked to missing info
- Data: mapping coverage; semantic discrepancies; terminology completeness
- User: clinician acceptance (% unchanged outputs); time saved; adoption rates
- · Compliance: blocked violations; audit completeness; review cycle time
- Al quality: accuracy by language/subgroup; false-alert rate; explainability score

Take-Home



- From multilingual to multi-context: Al helps adapt data to local meaning and law
- Make differences explicit and computable; keep humans in the loop
- Start small, measure hard, scale what works without losing traceability